

2008 Annual JOC Report Status

11/13/08

Job Order Contracts in Place

Authorized Public Bodies (RCW 39.10.420)	Public Bodies with JOCs	Report Submitted	Job Order Contract	Answers to questions	# Work Orders	Same subcontractor used on more than one work order	Aggregate % subcontracted	Aggregate % MWBE subcontracted	Comments
Department of General Administration	Department of General Administration	10/31/08	1 - Yi & Assoc.	All Yes and Strongly Agree JOC appropriate for projects	4	none	51%	0.0%	Doesn't incl MBE Yi self perform (44.5%)
			2 - Global Contractors	All Yes and Strongly Agree JOC appropriate for projects	21	Excav - 6, Concrete - 4, Elec - 5	100%	0.0%	Used self identified firm 4X
			3 - Centennial Contractors	All Yes and Strongly Agree JOC appropriate for projects	38	multiple used more than once	99%	12.6%	
			4 - Burton Construction	All Yes and Strongly Agree JOC appropriate for projects	8	none	96%	0.0%	Doesn't incl MBE Burton self perform (1.3%) (6.0%) w/matis
University of Washington	University of Washington	10/31/08	1 - Burton Construction	All Yes and Strongly Agree JOC appropriate for projects	22	Electr - 16, Mech A- 9, Mech B - 6	99%	11.7%	Doesn't incl MBE Burton self perform (2.9%) (3.9%) w/matis
			2 - Centennial	All Yes and Strongly Agree JOC appropriate for projects	36	multiple used more than once, five subs used more than 10 times	97%	2.0%	
Washington State University	Washington State University	10/30/08	1 - Burton Construction	All Yes and Agree JOC appropriate for projects	41	multiple used more than once	97%	0.0%	Doesn't incl MBE Burton self perform (3.2%) (6.0%) w/matis
Every city with a population greater than 70,000 and any public authority chartered by such city under RCW 35.21.730 through 35.21.755	City of Seattle,	10/28/08	0 for 2007-2008 (2 in place for 2008- 2009)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Every school district	Franklin Pierce School District	11/4/08	1 - Centennial	All Yes	3	detail not provided	detail not provided	detail not provided	Didn't answer Q#7 projects appropriate for JOC method.
	Lake Washington School District	10/30/08	1 - Centennial	All Yes and Agree JOC appropriate for projects	detail not provided	detail not provided	99%	35.1%	Didn't answer Q#2 agency solicit MWBE for JOC.
	Richland School District	not received yet (NR)	1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Spokane School District	10/28/08	1 - Burton Construction	All Yes and Strongly Agree JOC appropriate for projects	7	multiple used more than once	93%	0.0%	Doesn't incl MBE Burton self perform (12.2%) (13.8%) w/matis

Authorized Public Bodies with no Job Order Contracts in place:

Every county with a population greater than 450,000; Every port district with total revenues greater than \$15 million dollars per year; Every public utility district with revenues from energy sales greater than \$23 million dollars per year; State Ferry System.

**Bolded amounts are corrections by OMWBE
review and verification of certified firms.**

OMWBE Response to CPARB Annual Job Order Contracting Report

Our preliminary analysis of the submitted CPARB Annual Job Order Contracting Report reveals the following:

- OMWBE verified M/WBE certification all of the subcontractors on the reports. In almost every report received, errors about certified M/WBE firms' utilization occurred. Attached is a corrected table of aggregated M/WBE subcontractors. The corrected percentages are in **bold ink**.
- The form encourages reporting self-identified firms, contrary to the intent of the JOC legislation and to the CPARB September 11, 2008 meeting. Providing training to both the JOC primes and owners regarding certified M/WBEs, providing accurate and understandable definitions of M/WBE on the form and deleting the Certified (C) column should correct this problem.
- Question 2: With the exception of the University of Washington and the City of Seattle, it is unclear how the agencies solicited proposals from M/WBE firms to bid as JOC primes. OMWBE was never contacted to provide outreach assistance or a list of certified firms that might bid as JOC primes.
- Question 3: Of the current methods of advertising known to OMWBE that JOC primes actually engaged in during the reporting cycle, none of the methods could be anticipated to ensure equity of subcontracting opportunities. List of firms were used for outreach, such as the federal government dynamic small business search engine, but the OMWBE directory of certified firms was not tapped initially or regularly. Advertising was done in the standard major periodicals only. OMWBE recommends that training be provided to agencies and JOC primes about advertising and outreach that meets established standards of equity of subcontracting opportunity (e.g., Good Faith Efforts, Fair Share).
- Question 4: Only the University of Washington and the City of Seattle consulted with OMWBE concerning the review or approval of JOC prime supplier diversity plans in compliance with 39.10.450(5). No work orders under a job order contract should have been issued until the plan had been approved. OMWBE would like to immediately review all JOC prime supplier diversity plans submitted to date. If plans were not submitted, OMWBE believes no further work orders be issued until the JOC prime and the agency are in compliance with RCW 39.10.450(5).
- Question 5: Of the plans OMWBE actually reviewed to date, (UW - Burton and UW - Centennial) none of the JOC contractors have complied with their plans. The plans reviewed explicitly stated that OMWBE certified firms would be contacted for subcontracting opportunities and the OMWBE list of certified firms would be utilized. This did not occur until July 2008, after the reporting period.
- One of the concerns about the data is the number of times the same firm was utilized repeatedly. As the table is reviewed, due to the concerns about the advertising and outreach, we see lost opportunities for OMWBE certified firms to even decide whether to bid, let alone compete for subcontracting opportunities.